

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOSE EDUARDO GARNICA,

Defendant.

CASE NO. **2:22-mj-00324-BAT**

DETENTION ORDER

The Court has conducted a detention hearing under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) and concludes there are no conditions which the defendant can meet which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required or the safety of any other person and the community.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

Defendant is charged with conspiracy to manufacture a controlled substance: Fentanyl. The offense carries a rebuttal presumption that Defendant is both a risk of flight and a danger to the community. Defendant is charged with an offense of great magnitude. It is alleged that he and his co-defendant were engaged in manufacturing a significant amount of Fentanyl. This potent drug has led to many deaths by overdose. The drug is so lethal that the residence in which Defendants allegedly were making the drug had to be treated as a hazardous site and searched by a special hazmat team. In addition to manufacturing a significant amount of Fentanyl, law enforcement found an arsenal of firearms at Defendants' residence and thousands of rounds of

1 ammunition. Some of the guns were placed for easy access to Defendants in their bedroom. The
2 severity of the offense and the strength of the case against Defendants weighs against release.

3 The Court has considered Defendant's ties to the community and other factors about his
4 family, employment, and financial status. Defendant has lived in the community essentially for
5 his whole life and has family members in the area. This factor weighs in his favor.

6 However, Defendant has no visible employment and does not appear to have worked for
7 a number of years. The United States proffered that Defendant's employment is essentially
8 related to the illegal drug business; that Defendant created three shell companies in which large
9 cash deposits have been made; that these cash deposits have been distributed to various financial
10 institutions, including a cryptocurrency depository. Additionally, it is reported Defendant bought
11 a parcel of land last year for \$200,000 and a BMW SUV vehicle. These facts distinguish
12 Defendant from the co-defendant who has verified work, and a financial picture nowhere as
13 sophisticated as Defendant's finances.

14 Additionally, unlike the co-defendant who has no history of arrests and no criminal
15 convictions, Defendant does have a history of arrests for drug related offenses. Most of the
16 convictions were vacated following the Washington Supreme Court's decision regarding
17 possession of controlled substance offense but Defendant clearly has a history indicating both
18 substance use, and criminal conduct.

19 Although there are positive and negative factors in this case, the Court concludes the
20 negative factors lead to the conclusion that no set of conditions would ensure the safety of the
21 community and appearance of the Defendant.

22 It is therefore **ORDERED**:
23

1 (1) Defendant shall be detained pending trial and committed to the custody of the
2 Attorney General for confinement in a correctional facility separate, to the extent practicable,
3 from persons awaiting or serving sentences, or being held in custody pending appeal;

4 (2) Defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with
5 counsel;

6 (3) On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the
7 Government, the person in charge of the correctional facility in which Defendant is confined
8 shall deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in
9 connection with a court proceeding; and

10 (4) The Clerk shall provide copies of this order to all counsel, the United States
11 Marshal, and to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Officer.

12 DATED this 27th day of July, 2022.

13
14 
15 _____
BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA
United States Magistrate Judge